The Role of Stress in the Pathogenesis and Maintenance of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder
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Abstract

Individuals with obsessive-compulsive disorder often identify psychosocial stress as a factor that exacerbates their symptoms, and many trace the onset of symptoms to a stressful period of life or a discrete traumatic incident. However, the pathophysiological relationship between stress and obsessive-compulsive disorder remains poorly characterized: it is unclear whether trauma or stress is an independent cause of obsessive-compulsive disorder symptoms, a triggering factor that interacts with a preexisting diathesis, or simply a nonspecific factor that can exacerbate obsessive-compulsive disorder along with other aspects of psychiatric symptomatology. Nonetheless, preclinical research has demonstrated that stress has conspicuous effects on corticostriatal and limbic circuitry. Specifically, stress can lead to neuronal atrophy in frontal cortices (particularly the medial prefrontal cortex), the dorsomedial striatum (caudate), and the hippocampus. Stress can also result in neuronal hypertrophy in the dorsolateral striatum (putamen) and amygdala. These neurobiological effects mirror reported neural abnormalities in obsessive-compulsive disorder and may contribute to an imbalance between goal-directed and habitual behavior, an imbalance that is implicated in the pathogenesis and expression of obsessive-compulsive disorder symptomatology. The modulation of corticostriatal and limbic circuits by stress and the resultant imbalance between habit and goal-directed learning and behavior offers a framework for investigating how stress may exacerbate or trigger obsessive-compulsive disorder symptomatology.
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Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is characterized by chronic and interfering obsessions, compulsions, and avoidance.¹ Obsessions are intrusive, distressing thoughts that are typically perceived as irrational. Intrusive thoughts are nearly ubiquitous in the general population,² but individuals with OCD interpret their intrusions as more meaningful and distressing and, as such, habitually avoid situations or stimuli that may trigger the intrusions, or perform compulsive rituals in attempts to control obsessions and concomitant negative affect. OCD patients typically feel an urge to perform repetitive compulsions, often under strict, idiosyncratic guidelines; these behaviors are often irrational or performed to extreme excess.¹ Despite being relatively uncommon in the general population—12-month and lifetime prevalence of OCD is estimated to be 1.2% and 2.3%, respectively⁴—OCD is a top cause of disability among all psychiatric conditions.⁵
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Heritability studies have demonstrated that OCD has a significant genetic component but have also made clear that environmental factors contribute importantly to its etiology.\(^5\)\(^–\)\(^8\) There is considerable evidence that exposure to stressful and traumatic events constitutes an important environmental risk factor. We review the evidence for a relationship between stress and OCD and consider the different forms that this relationship may take; current evidence is not sufficient to arbitrate among several possibilities, which are not mutually exclusive. We then provide a selective review of current neurobiological and cognitive theories of the pathophysiology of OCD and consider how the effects of stress may interact with these mechanisms. Stress has striking effects on the corticostriatal and limbic circuitry, which are dysfunctional in OCD; this permits formulation of a general hypothesis as to how stress may trigger or exacerbate OCD symptomatology.

**Stress, Trauma, and OCD**

Numerous environmental events have been identified as potential contributors to OCD, and many of these can be considered stressful in a broad sense. These include, but are not limited to, perinatal and childbirth complications, age-related changes in reproductive systems, parental rearing styles, socioeconomic problems, and bodily insults or injuries.\(^9\) We focus here more specifically on the effects of psychosocial stress and stressful life events—including exposure to traumatic events—on OCD symptoms.\(^10\)\(^–\)\(^14\)

Psychosocial stress interacts with underlying vulnerability factors in many neuropsychiatric conditions, including mood and anxiety disorders,\(^15\) as well as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and other trauma-related conditions.\(^16\),\(^17\) This has been captured in the concept of a “stress-diathesis” interaction in the development of psychopathology.\(^18\),\(^19\) A similar stressor can produce disparate effects on two individuals, producing pathology in one but minimal effects, or even enhanced resilience, in another. The term diathesis refers to this preexisting vulnerability to pathogenic effects of a stressor; such a vulnerability may derive from genetic factors, developmental events, or earlier experience and may be conceptualized as biological, psychological, or a combination of the two. It is presumed that all individuals have some level of diathetic vulnerability and that everyone experiences stress; the development of symptoms in a particular individual, therefore, derives from a complex interaction between the two.

In a particular condition, such as OCD, stress may interact with symptomatology in several different ways; these may co-exist within the population or even in an individual. First, in some individuals, stress may lead directly and causally to the development of symptomatology—that is, the vulnerability may be generic, and the stress may produce the specific illness. Second, an individual may have a specific vulnerability to a particular condition, but it may be latent until triggered by a stressful experience. Third, an illness may exist independently, but it may be exacerbated (either permanently or episodically) by elevated psychosocial stress. The type and severity of stressors may be of importance for all three of these examples; that is, specific types of stressors may differentially affect vulnerabilities and manifestations of symptoms. In considering the limited literature documenting an association between stressful life events and OCD symptomatology, it is useful to keep these different modes of interaction in mind.

Acute stress can induce obsessionality in both healthy and psychiatric populations.\(^20\)\(^–\)\(^23\) No study has experimentally examined the effects of acute stressors on obsessionality among OCD patients, but self-report studies indicate that 25–67% of OCD patients report significant life events (a majority of which are stressful) in relation to the onset of their OCD.\(^24\)\(^–\)\(^27\) Patients with OCD also report significantly more stressful life events 6 months\(^14\),\(^28\) and 12 months\(^29\),\(^30\) before disease onset, as well as over their lifetime,\(^28\),\(^30\) compared with nonclinical controls. Moreover, the severity of both obsessions and compulsions is significantly correlated with the number of stressful life events experienced in the year prior to OCD onset and over the patient’s lifetime.\(^28\),\(^30\),\(^31\) Finally, OCD patients who report stressful life events prior to disease onset also report significantly more abrupt symptom onset and reduced likelihood of family history of OCD compared to OCD patients who report no such stressful life events.\(^32\),\(^35\) This may suggest a larger environmental contribution to pathophysiology in these cases.

A caveat to all of these studies is that they may be subject to recall bias: OCD symptom onset may occur irrespective of stressful events but be associated with symptoms post hoc as patients attempt to make sense of their experience. This confound can be partially addressed using a longitudinal design. One longitudinal study found that high school and middle school students who reported stressful life events were 21% more likely to go on to meet criteria for OCD 12 months later when compared to students who reported no such events.\(^32\) These findings suggest a causal association between stressful life events (or at least the subjective experience thereof) and the onset of OCD symptoms; although stressful life events may also serve as a marker for symptom development. It must be noted that a smaller longitudinal study failed to find significant relationships between stressful life events and the subsequent development of OCD symptoms; more work is needed here.\(^33\)

Trauma represents an extreme form of psychosocial stress. There is a sizable body of literature linking...
exposure to traumatic events and development of OCD symptoms. Exposure to traumatic events appears to be associated with increased OCD symptom severity, particularly compulsions, and most research suggests that those exposed to such events are at an elevated risk for developing OCD. For example, individuals who retrospectively reported exposure to traumatic events in childhood are five to nine times more likely to meet criteria for OCD in adulthood than those without a trauma history. Similarly, two studies have shown that retrospective report of multiple childhood traumatic events (compared to no trauma) elevates adult OCD risk nearly fivefold, and that severity of childhood trauma correlates strongly with adult OCD symptom severity. Again, caveats apply to studies based on retrospective report; it is possible that a bias toward recalling or reporting past trauma exaggerates these numbers in individuals with OCD, or with anxious psychopathology in general. Examination of specific trauma types has revealed trauma-related links with OCD. Sexual assault survivors are approximately four times more likely to meet criteria for OCD than matched controls or community members. OCD patients are also significantly more likely to report childhood sexual abuse than nonclinical controls; one study found that those who report such abuse are up to seven times more likely to meet criteria for OCD than those who report no such abuse. Furthermore, OCD patients retrospectively report more physical abuse and neglect in childhood than anxious and nonclinical samples, and significant correlations between severity of abuse/neglect and obsessive (but not compulsive) symptoms in adulthood have been reported. Some trauma-exposed individuals manifest overlapping OCD and PTSD symptoms. Individuals with OCD are significantly more likely than community controls to meet criteria for PTSD based on some studies, although at least one study has found no such elevation. Patients with PTSD who experienced criminal, combat, terror-related, and accidental man-made traumatic events (e.g., fires) have all been reported to have an elevated risk of developing OCD. Finally, patients with comorbid PTSD and OCD exhibit greater PTSD and OCD symptom levels than those with only PTSD or OCD. The reviewed literature does not establish whether psychosocial stress or specific types of stress and trauma are uniquely associated with OCD symptomatology or with psychopathology more generally. A nonspecific vulnerability factor such as neuroticism may be associated with stress reactivity across a wide range of mood- and anxiety-related disorders, with OCD representing only one particular example. In this case, the role of stress in the development of OCD symptomatology would be real, but not specific to OCD. Alternatively, there may be more specific vulnerability factors that determine whether similar stressors lead some individuals to develop OCD and others to develop different psychopathology. Addressing this question requires comparing the incidence and effects of stress in OCD to those in other clinical conditions, not just healthy controls. These comparisons have been made in a few studies, revealing some evidence for a disease-specific contribution of stress or trauma to OCD symptoms. However, more work is needed to clarify this question.

**Neurocircuitry of OCD and the Role of Habit Learning**

The most widely accepted neuroanatomical model of OCD implicates abnormal activity in corticostriatal-thalamo-cortical (CSTC) circuitry. In particular, hyperactivity has been reported in the medial frontal cortex (mFC) (especially the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC)) and the striatum. Meta-analyses have noted alterations in gray matter volumes within CSTC circuitry among OCD patients, including increased gray matter volume in the dorsal striatum (chiefly the putamen) and thalamus and decreased gray matter volume in the mFC (particularly the ACC), although results have been inconsistent across studies. Aberrant functional connectivity within the CSTC circuit has also been reported. For example, relative to psychiatrically healthy controls, OCD patients have increased global brain connectivity in the dorsal striatum (particularly the right putamen) and thalamus, increased functional connectivity between the ventral striatum and mPFC, but decreased global brain connectivity in the ventral striatum/nucleus accumbens. Altered activation of the OFC and other components of the CSTC circuitry has been reported during tests of cognitive control in OCD; the directionality of these effects appear quite sensitive to task and study design, with many reports suggesting hyperactivity at rest but reduced recruitment during certain cognitive tests.

Growing preclinical literature demonstrates that altered activity in these CSTC circuits can promote repetitive behaviors, which may model aspects of OCD. For example, elevated activity in both ventral and dorsal striatum can increase repetitive grooming, which has been proposed, with some important caveats, to recapitulate the repetitive nature of obsessions and compulsions. Stress modulates the activity and network properties of these same neurons, producing dendritic atrophy and a range of molecular alterations, and may thereby contribute to repetitive behavioral pathology. Components of CSTC circuitry are implicated in the development of inflexible behavior patterns and habits. Habits are stimulus–response associations that become automatic over the course of extensive practice, such that they are executed irrespective of current...
motivational or behavioral state and, once initiated, proceed in a largely stereotyped sequence. These are contrasted with goal-directed actions, which are more flexible behaviors that take into account motivational state, anticipated outcomes and their desirability, and contextual variables. An overreliance on habitual behaviors has been proposed to be a core mechanism in the development of OCD symptomatology; individuals with OCD exhibit a bias toward inflexible habits, relative to more flexible, goal-directed behavioral control. This bias may derive from abnormalities in the mechanisms of habit and goal-directed control themselves, or from dysfunction of the executive systems that arbitrate between habitual and goal-directed behavioral strategies.

Abnormalities in CSTC circuitry in OCD have been interpreted in the context of habit and goal-directed control. The dorsolateral or sensorimotor striatum (putamen) is implicated in habit formation and expression. The putamen is relatively larger in individuals with OCD, in proportion to the duration of symptoms. More precisely, the normal age-related loss of gray matter in the putamen is attenuated in individuals with OCD. Larger putamen volume has been interpreted as evidence for an overreliance on habits, which leads to hypertrophy of the associated circuitry over time. The dorsomedial or associative striatum (caudate), on the other hand, supports associative learning and flexible, goal-directed behavior.

The OFC functions in concert with the dorsomedial striatum when switching from habitual to goal-directed actions and monitors changes in stimulus–response relationships. Similarly, the lateral PFC and parts of the mPFC, such as the frontal pole, ACC, and supplementary motor area are implicated in switching or arbitration between habitual and goal-directed actions.

Abnormal activity in these brain regions in OCD may underlie dysregulation of the balance between habits and goal-directed actions.

Some have extended the CSTC model of OCD to include structures more explicitly associated with the processing of fear and anxiety, including the amygdala and hippocampus. Amygdala responsivity is elevated in OCD in response to symptom provocation, and negative stimuli unrelated to OCD, and an emotional face processing paradigm. Hippocampal metabolism at rest may be correlated with symptom severity, and several studies have reported aberrant hippocampal activation during implicit learning tasks. This fear circuitry is also implicated in the formation and modulation of habitual behavior. Fear induction and amygdala activation can acutely bias organisms toward the expression of habitual behavior, partly by interfering with regulatory or executive functions of the dorsolateral PFC.

The central nucleus of the amygdala interacts with the dorsolateral striatum in regulating the acquisition of habits. Amygdala afferents to the dorsal striatum (particularly to neurochemically distinct compartments known as striosomes) may mediate this interaction.

In contrast, the basolateral amygdala is vital for the more flexible updating of behavior following changes in environmental contingencies. Finally, hippocampal/parahippocampal regions, which project to the striatum, play a major role in complex spatial and explicit (conscious/declarative) learning and memory, and impairments in hippocampal function can bias toward habit-like behaviors.

**Modulation of Habit by Stress: A Possible Mechanism for Stress Effects on OCD Symptoms**

Both acute and chronic stress can bias an organism toward rigid, habit-like patterns of behavior and impair flexible, goal-directed learning and behavioral control. In conjunction with the proposal that an overreliance on habit may contribute to OCD, this observation identifies a potential mechanistic locus for the interaction between stress and the development, exacerbation, and maintenance of OCD symptomatology. Both preclinical and human studies of the effects of stress on the brain support this idea.

Preclinical work suggests that stress-induced structural and functional changes in corticostriatal and limbic circuits shift the balance between habitual and goal-directed behaviors. Excessive stress can cause neuronal atrophy and synaptic loss in the PFC (particularly the medial prefrontal cortex [mPFC] but also the OFC), hippocampus, dorsomedial striatum (caudate), and ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens) and can impair neurogenesis in the hippocampus. Prolonged stress, particularly early-life stress, can significantly disrupt striatal and amygdala development and function, but can also cause neuronal hypertrophy and synaptic potentiation in the amygdala and dorsolateral striatum (putamen).

Some human research suggests early-life stressors (including exposure to traumatic events) are associated with gray matter loss throughout corticostriatal-limbic circuitry. Other work has found that recent stressful life events, excessive adverse life events, and lifetime trauma lead more specifically to gray matter loss in the mPFC (including the ACC and OFC).

Stress-induced changes in brain structure and function map remarkably well onto the anatomical substrates of goal-directed and habitual behavioral control. Dysfunction of mPFC, OFC, hippocampus, and dorsomedial striatum may impair goal-directed behavioral control. Hypertrophy and hyperfunction of the amygdala and dorsolateral striatum are consistent with excessive...
reliance on the habitual behavior patterns supported by this circuitry. This suggests a circuit-level mechanism whereby stress may induce or enhance a bias toward habit and thereby induce or exacerbate OCD symptomatology.132

The neurobiological literature briefly summarized above suggests five distinct mechanisms whereby this may occur.

- Excessive stress can result in atrophy of the caudate, which may impair goal-directed control of behavior.133
- Stress can have hypertrophic effects on the putamen, which may enhance sensorimotor habit133; a role for such an effect in OCD is supported by the relative preservation of putamen volume in patients over the course of disease.77
- Stress may impair complex spatial or declarative learning and memory and thereby produce a bias toward habit via atrophy or disrupted neurogenesis of the hippocampus.115
- Stress may impair goal-directed behavioral control and the flexible switching between habitual and goal-directed systems76 due to atrophy of the frontal cortices, particularly the mPFC and OFC.134,135
- Finally, stress may have both acute and protracted effects on the balance between habit and goal-directed action due to amygdala hyperactivity and, over time, hypertrophy.

**Figure 1.** Excessive stress adversely affects goal-directed control and enhances habit learning and behavior. This may be partly explained by the demonstrated effects of stress on limbic and cortico-striatal circuitry. More specifically, stress can result in the atrophy of brain regions associated with goal-directed control, shown here in red—the frontal cortex (particularly the medial and orbital frontal regions), hippocampus, and caudate—while also causing hypertrophy in regions associated with habit, shown here in green—the amygdala and putamen. Moreover, stress can disrupt functional connectivity between these various regions. Dysregulation of limbic and cortico-striatal circuitry has repeatedly been demonstrated in OCD. These abnormalities may be related to the neurocognitive impairments implicated in the pathogenesis and maintenance of OCD, including the acquisition, arbitration, and expression of goal-directed and habitual behaviors. Given the likely association between excessive stress and OCD, the adverse effects of stress on cortico-striatal-limbic circuitry and the associated disruption in the balance between goal-directed control and habit may play a causal role in the pathogenesis and maintenance of OCD, at least for some patients.
The specific anatomical and functional effects of stress in an individual are likely to depend on a complex interplay between underlying vulnerability factors (i.e., a genetic or developmental diatheses) and the nature, intensity, and duration of the stressful experience. Of course, excessive stress is likely to lead to interactive effects across the various anatomical regions listed above, which constitute an interacting circuitry. The overall effect of biasing the brain toward dependence on inflexible, habitual patterns of behavior may lead to the development and expression of habitual avoidance, compulsive behaviors, and repetitive thoughts (Figure 1).

Discussion

While the literature examining the relations between stress and OCD symptomatology is substantial, as reviewed above, most studies are cross-sectional and rely on retrospective report. There is a paucity of mechanistic research directly examining the relationship between stress and OCD symptomatology. The hypotheses developed above can be tested using a quasi-experimental design, comparing, for example, habit learning between OCD patients with and without a history of trauma. Such an approach could be extended using neuroimaging by probing whether stress or trauma potentiates abnormalities in corticostriatal-limbic circuits at rest, during symptom provocation, or during tests of habit and goal-directed control in OCD. Given the high prevalence of trauma in OCD and the fact that trauma exposure is typically assessed during standard clinical screenings, initial steps in this direction may be possible through secondary analyses of existing datasets.

There is a conspicuous lack of preclinical work employing stress-based models to elucidate the pathophysiology of OCD. Preclinical models of fear conditioning and chronic stress have been used to shed light on the neurobiology of other disorders with trauma-based etiology, which provides some insight into the ways in which stress might affect habitual fear-motivated behaviors. Advances in our understanding of the modulation of habit learning and behavioral control by acute and chronic stress are likely to shed light on how these processes can contribute to the pathophysiology of OCD.

It has been argued that the symptoms of OCD are analogous to displacement behaviors in animals, such as grooming, which has been shown to be modulated by stress. Much of this work has been done within the context of preclinical models of Tourette’s syndrome (TS), which is highly comorbid with OCD; TS shares a number of neurobiological correlates, and is similarly exacerbated by stress. Stress has been shown to exacerbate grooming phenotypes in several pathophysiologically grounded models of TS. While these studies have focused on tic disorders, they highlight the possibility that animal models can be used to study the effects of stress on the etiology and pathophysiology of OCD.

Conclusion

A variety of survey studies suggest excessive stress may play a significant role in the etiology and maintenance of symptoms in many patients with OCD. However, our understanding of the mechanisms of this effect remains limited. It has been proposed that OCD symptomatology arises from an imbalance between goal-directed and habitual control of behavior in OCD; these processes have been associated with specific components of limbic and corticostriatal circuitry. As reviewed, stress has clear functional and anatomical impacts on this circuitry that are consistent with a net effect of biasing an organism toward increased reliance on habit; preclinical studies have revealed just such an effect. Perturbations in limbic and corticostriatal circuitry and associated imbalances between goal-directed and habitual control may represent specific mechanisms whereby stress can predispose toward or exacerbate OCD and related disorders.

The effect of stress on OCD, at both clinical and mechanistic levels, has received relatively little attention until recently. This is an important area for ongoing research; better insight into these relationships will have important consequences both for our understanding of pathophysiology and for the development of new strategies for treatment and prevention.

Highlights

- Stress and trauma are associated with the development and expression of OCD symptoms
- Stress effects corticostriatal and limbic circuitry
- Corticostriatal and limbic circuits are involved in habit formation and expression
- Habit learning and corticostriatal and limbic circuits are dysfunctional in OCD
- This provides a framework for investigating the effects of stress and trauma on OCD
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